Full arch rehabilitation: Double zygomatic implants with multiple monolithic zirconia crowns on a milled-titanium framework

By Dr Pongrapee Kamolroongwarakul and Dr Alisa Tapananon

CASE PRESENTATION

The patient presented with an ill-fitting upper full-arch prosthesis associated with bilateral maxillary sinusitis. Her existing prosthesis was placed in 2004 at a private dental clinic in Thailand, and consisted of six implant-supported metal-acrylic hybrid restoration (Fig. 1a to 1e). Periapical and panoramic radiographs revealed six failing implants associated with right infected maxillary sinus (Fig. 1f). After a thorough clinical examination, analysis and diagnosis, the treatment plan was formulated. It included the surgical removal of all six upper implants and replacement with double zygomatic implants followed by an immediate interim maxillary fixed complete denture¹. After the implants integrate and stabilise, a maxillary definitive prosthesis would be delivered simultaneously with the replacement of denture teeth for the existing mandibular implant-retained RPD.

























Fig. 2a: Maxillary arch after removal of failing implants

Fig. 2b-2c: New maxillary complete denture fabricated for the healing period

Fig. 3a: Zygomatic implants after healing

Fig. 3b: The open-tray impression coping connected using Duralay

SURGICAL PHASE

Fig. 4a

All six failing implants were surgically removed under local anaesthesia by an oral surgeon (Fig. 1g-1h) followed by the treatment of bilateral sinusitis. During the healing period, a conventional maxillary complete denture was fabricated to reestablish aesthetics and function while acting as an interim denture (Fig. 2a-2c).

After two-months healing period and confirmation of healthy tissue, the double zygomatic implants (NobelZygoma, Nobel Biocare) were placed under general anaesthesia. After primary stability was achieved in all four implants, the interim denture was converted to a fixed-complete denture following the immediate loading protocol¹. Fig. 3c: Final impression with polyether

Fig. 4a-4c: A verification jig fabricated on the master cast with dental plaster Fig. 5a-5c: A full contour diagnostic wax-up was created and tried in the patient's mouth

DEFINITIVE DIAGNOSTIC WAX-UP AND FABRICATION OF AN AESTHETIC PROTOTYPE

After the placement of the zygomatic implants and four-months healing period (Fig. 3a), the preliminary impression was taken using closed-tray impression copings. A set of open-tray impression coping were splinted with dental floss and auto-polymerised acrylic (Duralay) on a stone cast. After the resin was fully polymerised, each impression coping was sectioned with a diamond-disk to compensate for shrinkage of the resin in the cast.

To obtain an accurate master cast, each open-tray impression coping was reconnected intra-orally before the final impression was taken with polyether impression material (Fig. 3b-3c). A plaster verification

jig was fabricated and placed intra-orally according to the One-screw test² to ensure accuracy of the master cast (Fig. 4a-4c). After the master cast was verified using the verification jig, a definitive diagnostic wax-up was created and tried in the patient's mouth (Fig. 5a-5c).

Once the patient was satisfied and accepted the proposed aesthetics, the diagnostic wax-up was scanned and digitally designed (3shape Dental System) to fabricate the milled-PMMA aesthetic prototype (Fig. 6a-6c). A gingiva cut-back was done to create sufficient space for pink composite layering (Ceramage gum colour set, Shofu) to enhance the aesthetic outcomes. The aesthetic prototype was delivered as per the patient's desired aesthetics and function³ (Fig. 7a-7c).

FABRICATION OF THE TITANIUM FRAMEWORK

The aesthetic prototype was duplicated and designed using the CAD software (Exocad, Zirkonzahn) for fabricating the milled-titanium framework (Titan5) designed to support the individual zirconia crowns. Subsequently, the framework was fabricated and tried in the patient's mouth to confirm fit and accuracy (Fig. 8a-8c).

A Lucia jig⁴ was fabricated to verify the vertical dimension of occlusion (VDO) and facilitate a jaw relation record in the centric relation (CR) position. The master cast with a titanium framework was mounted onto a semi-adjustable articulator (Fig. 9a-9c).



Fig. 6a-6c: Scanned diagnostic wax-up to design the monochromatic milled-PMMA aesthetic prototype

Fig. 7a-7c: A milled-PMMA prototype with Ceramage pink composite layering delivered to evaluate aesthetics and functions

Fig. 8a-8c: A definitive titanium framework for individual crowns was milled according to the data from the milled-PMMA aesthetic prototype Fig. 9a-9c: A Lucia jig was fabricated to verify the VDO and facilitate the CR record



























Fig. 120

The gingival portion was designed to have sufficient space for composite layering. The opaquer was applied to mask the greyish framework colour. The gingival portion was then layered and finished with the pink indirect composite (Ceramage, Shofu) (Fig. 10a-10c).

MONOLITHIC ZIRCONIA CROWNS FABRICATION

The monolithic translucent zirconia crowns (Vita YZ ST A3 blank) were milled using previous data from the CAD software (3shape

Dental System). These zirconia crowns were 3D stained externally (Vita YZ ST shade liquids) to mimic the natural appearance. The occlusal plane, contour, and alignment were designed according to the PMMA aesthetic prototype. Screw access on teeth 14, 25, 16, and 26 were drilled to hold the screw-retained prosthesis.

All zirconia crowns were internally sandblasted. The MDP-contained primer was applied onto the intaglio surface of the zirconia crowns

(Single bond universal) and cemented on titanium framework using resin cement (Rely X ultimate TR).

DELIVERY OF THE SCREW-RETAINED IMPLANT-SUPPORTED DEFINITIVE PROSTHESIS

The CAD/CAM maxillary screw-retained implant prosthesis with the monolithic zirconia individual crowns were cemented on the titanium framework and delivered to the patient (Fig. 11a-11f and Fig. 12a-12c). All screws were torqued at 35Ncm according to the manufacturer's instruction. A new set of denture teeth (Endura posterio A3, Shofu) were placed in the existing mandibular implantretained RPD to improve the aesthetics and function of the lower arch.

The specific design selected for the implant-supported maxillary prosthesis provided two key benefits: Ease of retrievability and strength of the restoration⁵.

For instance, if there is a damage to an individual crown, a new zirconia crown can be milled before the patient's appointment using the existing CAD data and cemented independently. Secondly, this design allows the possibility of repairing only the damaged crown without having to replace the entire restoration which saves valuable time and minimises cost. Lastly, the strength and durability of monolithic zirconia crowns are superior to the acrylic resin teeth commonly used in the conventional metal-acrylic hybrid design.

In conclusion, the above clinical case highlights a patient-centric approach where a CAD/CAM maxillary screw-retained implant prosthesis with monolithic zirconia individual crowns were selected to provide a highly aesthetic and functional restorative solution with ease of repairability.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to express their deepest gratitude to:

- (1) Asst. Prof. Surakit Visuttiwattanakorn DDS, FRDCT for his efforts in the surgical aspects of patient treatment
- (2) Suchada Kongkiatkamon DDS, MS, FACP and her BWC Dental Lab team (Thailand) for the suggestions, and collaboration in the laboratory procedures
- (3) Nutcharee Laochareon for her care, diligence and skillful work in the gingival layering procedure
- (4) Sompong Auenlum and K Dental lab team (Thailand) for the design and fabrication of the framework
- (5) Somphote Angkanawiriyarak DDS, MS for capturing the artistic photos in the cover page DA

REFERENCES

- Bedrossian E, Rangert B, Stumpel L, Indresano T (2006). Immediate function with the zygomatic implant: a graftless solution for the patient with mild to advanced atrophy of the maxilla. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 21:937–42.
- Tan KB, Rubenstein JE, Nicholls JI, Y uodelis RA. Three-dimensional analysis of the casting accuracy of one piece, osseointegrated implant retained prostheses. Int J Prosthodont 1993;6:346-63.
- Schnitman PA. The profile prosthesis: an aesthetic fixed implantsupported restoration for the resorbed maxilla. Pract Periodontics Aesthet Dent. 1999 Jan-Feb;11(1):143-51.
- Lucia, V.O. (1964) A Technique for Recording Centric Relation. JPD, 14, 492-505.
- Maló P, Nobre M, Borges J, Almeida R (2012). Retrievable Metal Ceramic Implant-Supported Fixed Prostheses with Milled Titanium Frameworks and All-Ceramic Crowns: Retrospective Clinical Study with up to 10 Years of Follow-Up. J Prosthodont 21:256–264.

About the Authors



Dr Pongrapee Kamolroongwarakul received his DDS degree from Mahidol University, Thailand, in 2009. He completed prosthodontics training and earned his Master of Sciences in Prosthodontics at the Mahidol University in 2013. He also obtained his fellowship in implant dentistry from Loma Linda University, United States in 2017. He works as a full-time dentist at Phyathai 2 hospital and part-time faculty at the Prosthodontic department in Mahidol University. He is currently a board committee in Thai Prosthodontic Association.



Dr Alisa Tapananon received her DDS degree from the Mahidol University, Thailand, in 2009. She completed Master of Sciences in Prosthodontics at Mahidol University in 2013. In addition, she attended a one-year advanced clinical training programme in Aesthetic and Restorative Dentistry at UCLA, United States, in 2017. Currently, Dr Alisa is a part-time faculty at the Prosthodontic department in Mahidol University and part-time prosthodontic and aesthetic dentistry at Phyathai 2 hospital.